

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/677,770 10/01/2003 | Roy E. Moore JR. | 2222 | 9120 |
| 42638 7590 02/05/2007 THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN MCHUGH, LLC 46 WASHINGTON STREET MIDDLETOWN, CT 06457 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 3673 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | VMODE |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | 02/05/2007 | DELIVERY MODE | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/677,770 | MOORE, ROY E. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Frederick L. Lagman | 3673 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/15 | 5/06 | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | , — | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | A parto Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 1 | 00 0.0. 210. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-40</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-40</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | |
| | | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 26-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kruger et al #2002/0044833. Kruger et al, paragraph [0021], discloses a leaching chamber having a lengths between 2-10 feet and lengths of 4-8 feet being preferred. The nature of the shorter length chambers would allow for an increase in curve factor and to increase the number of joints for a given length of chamber string. It appears that the characteristics such as length to width aspect ratio, the flexibility factor, and weight per foot would be covered by Kruger depending on the actual length of chamber, since it also appears that the chambers may be made from similar materials. It also appears that depending on the actual length of the chamber and perhaps the strength of the person installing, that a single person is capable of manipulating chambers so as to install the chambers within the trench.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argue that the chamber of Kruger is for stormwater and not leaching and that the chambers are not placed in trenches. However, as stated above,

Art Unit: 3673

the chambers are also used for leaching and these chambers may be placed in a hole i.e. trench having a width and length consistent with the number of chambers being used. Thereby, if a single line of chambers is required, then a trench is formed, not a pit. Applicant points out unexpected results; however, evidence of secondary considerations, such as unexpected results cannot overcome a 35 USC 102 rejection. Furthermore, Kruger discloses chambers which may range from 2-10 feet preferably 4-8 feet to facilitate handling and installation for example.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 571-272-7043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frederick L. Lagman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

FLL